Is "Church" Necessary?

There is an ever-increasing number of people who claim to be "Christians" that don't think "church" is necessary. That is, they believe they have a connection to God, and by it eternal salvation, but are not part of any "church," and in many cases eschew any such affiliation or association (for a variety of reasons). Before addressing this issue specifically, there are a couple of principles we need to be sure we understand...

- Any and every "Christian" is connected to and saved by God directly. The "power of God for salvation" is "the gospel" not the church. While a congregation can and should be invested in the proclamation and propagation of the gospel locally (Eph.4:11-16), and when possible in other places (Phil.4:15-18), the "power" to "save" has, nonetheless, been endowed by God Himself in "the gospel" rather than "the church."
- 2. The "church" is the collective *product* of individual salvation, not the *provider* of it. Such is made abundantly clear in <u>Acts 2</u> where individuals "who had received his word (Peter's proclamation of the gospel, PCS) were baptized…" <u>v.41</u>. As a result of these individuals being saved through obedience to the gospel, they were "added" by God to the *collectivity of the saved* (otherwise known as the "universal church"). So then the church (both *universal* and *local*, <u>cp.vv41,47</u>) was/is the *product* of individuals being save by obedience to the gospel rather than being the *provider* of it.

Why is this important? **People were and are saved** <u>without</u> the church. The book of <u>Acts</u> is replete with examples of conversions that occurred when one *individual* taught *another "the gospel"* and they obeyed it, <u>cf. Acts 8:26-39</u> *et al*). So "the church" did not and does not "save" anyone. Obedience to *"the gospel"* does that. It is true that "a church," meaning a "local congregation" may be instrumental in the proclamation of *"the gospel,"* but is not necessarily required for one to *hear* and *obey "the gospel"* and thus become saved. However...

It is also true that Christ is *"head over all to the church, which is His body, the fullness of Him who fills all in all,"* <u>Eph.1:22b-23</u>. If "the church"- in both the *universal* and *local/congregational* senses, is *"the body"* of Christ, why would anyone say that it is **"unnecessary"**? The figure utilized isn't a *headless body* anymore than it is a *bodiless head* for neither would viable! Each is **necessary** for the other's *fulfillment*.

Please consider also some specific items addressed to one *local body* of Christ at Corinth relative to our title question:

- The notion that Christians would *"come together as a church"* was expected by God, <u>1Cor.11:18</u>; and other aspects of *"church" activities* and *worship* were predicated upon such;
- The Lord's Supper is to be taken as a *collective communion* Christ, <u>1Cor.11:20-32</u>, and Christians were instructed to *"wait for one another"* <u>v.33</u> so that it might be observed by the congregation *together;*
- The church "assemble(d)" (came together) for specified periods of worship and edification (literally, building up one another), <u>1Cor.14:26;</u>

- When they *came together "on the first day of every week"* a *"collection"* was to be made to meet financial needs, <u>1Cor.16:1-2;</u>
- *Mutual concern* and *care* was to exist *"in the body*" so that there would be *"no division"* and so that *"when one member suffers, all the members suffer with it"* and if *"one member is honored, all the members rejoice with it,"* 1Cor.12:25-27; and,
- There were various *appointed offices* in the church to provide for the spiritual needs and benefit of the members, <u>1Cor.12:28</u>.

Now given these instructions relative to the proper function of a local church, you tell me: **Does God expect one who has been** *saved by obedience to the gospel* to be **an** *active member of Christ's body in a local congregation?* Of course He does! Go back and read <u>1Cor.12:12-27</u> and answer one final question: Is any *one part* meant to survive and function **apart** from its **association with and attachment to** *the body?*

God did not mean for nor does he expect *one part* (and *individual*) to survive and function **apart** from *the body* any more than you would expect a *foot*, an *ear*, a *nose*, an *eye*, or a *hand* to survive and continue to properly function when no longer connected to *the body*.

So if we mean by "church" the local *body of Christ,* I'd say **it's absolutely necessary**, as is our *individual* attachment to and function within it. Not only does the *individual Christian* have obligations to it, he/she also receives tremendous benefits from itneither of which can or will be fulfilled anywhere else. (Philip C. Strong; Viking Drive Church of Christ; 3791 Viking Drive, Bossier City, LA; online at <u>vikingdrivechurchofchrist.com</u>; email to <u>mrpcstrong@hotmail.com</u>)